

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,505	11/26/2003	Masaki Tani	101175-00040	7511	
ARENT FOX I	7590 06/11/200 KINTNER PLOTKIN &	EXAMINER			
Suite 600		. ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND			
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	06/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action **Before** the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/721,505	TANI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Raymond Alejandro	1745		

	Raymond Alejandro	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or			110 133003 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all							
non-allowable claim(s).			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13 and 17.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
,		Raymond Alejandr Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1745	0				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: a) New Issue: incorporation of limitations of finally rejected claim 5 (now cancelled as proposed) raises new issues requiring further search and/or consideration because three (3) final rejections (see items 5 and 7-8) of the 01/30/07 Final Rejection does not specifically contain the subject matter of claim 5 therein. Rejections of the AAPA in view of Landsman et al'014 (item 5), the AAPA in view of Heffler'197 (item 7) and the AAPA in view of Goldsmith'442 (item 8) do not contain grounds of rejection for claim 5. Therefore, the addition of the limitations of CLAIM 5 (i.e. the specific weight ratio) into independent claim 1 is instantly presented by the applicant to at least overcome those three (3) rejections. It is not understood why applicant did not amend the present claims as proposed but prior to issuing the Final Rejection of 01/30/07.